

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/581,294	PAUL ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MD. YOUNUS MEAH	1652	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MD. YOUNUS MEAH

(3) Sudhir Paul

(2) Benjamin A. Adler

(4) Yasuhiro Nishiyama

Date of interview: 30 October 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: pCRA of claim 1.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 31.

Identification of prior art discussed: US68555528, Taguchi et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants and examiner discussed about covalently reactive polypeptide antigen analogue (pCRA) of claim 1. Applicant argue that pCRA of claim 1 is novel and Prior art Taguchi et al and US pat 68555528 do not anticipate it. Examiner disagreed. Examiner suggested to amend the pCRA of formula 1 of claim 1 to overcome prior art and USC 35 112 rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary or Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Md. Younus Meah

10/30/09